

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,374	MCNULTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-finally rejected

(1) Marc S. Zimmer.

(3) _____

(2) Peter Hagerty.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was asked to make an election based on a planned restriction requirement where the claims were being separated into two groups, those groups being group I comprising claims 1-15 and 17-34 and group II comprising claim 16. Applicant indicated that they wish to proceed with the Examination of the claims of group I..